

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/051,886	FELMETSGER, VALERY V.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alonzo Chambliss	2827	

All Participants:

(1) Alonzo Chambliss.

(2) Ellsworth R. Roston.

Date of Interview: 6 October 2003

Typ of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: Non-final rejection forthcoming.

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 1:00PM

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102(e) and 103(a)

Claims discussed:

1, 4, 5, 11, 17, 22, 29, 35, 39, 44, 48, 51, 53, and 59

Prior art documents discussed:

Akiyama (U.S. 6,391,796), the Admitted Prior Art, Hong (U.S. 6,375,810)

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: applicant's attorney was called to suggest language to place into the independent claims to overcome the above prior art documents. However, the attorney informed the examiner that since changes needed to be made to the independent claims the attorney wanted a rejection. Therefore, a non-final rejection is forthcoming. .